



Research Paper

# EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION OF RHOMBUS SHAPED GROOVES ON PISTON CROWN OF A SINGLE CYLINDER 4-STROKE DI DIESEL ENGINE

J Paul Rufus Babu<sup>1\*</sup>, B Madhu Babu<sup>1</sup>, T Dada Khalandar<sup>1</sup> and P S Bharadwaj<sup>1</sup>

\*Corresponding Author: **J Paul Rufus Babu**, ✉ [rufus.paull@gmail.com](mailto:rufus.paull@gmail.com)

The in-cylinder air motion in internal combustion engines is one of the most important factors controlling the combustion process. It governs the fuel-air mixing and burning rates in diesel engines. In this present work the experimental investigation of air swirl in the cylinder upon the performance and emission of a single cylinder diesel direct injection is presented. This intensification of the swirl is done by the cutting grooves on the crown of the piston, by three different configurations of RGP 6, RGP 9 and normal pistons are investigating performance and emission characteristics. Experiments are carried out on a diesel engine using Modified different configuration piston which is a four stroke single cylinder air cooled and constant speed engine. Performance parameters such as brake power, specific fuel consumption and Thermal efficiency are calculated based on experimental analysis of the engine. Emissions such as carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and unburnt hydrocarbons are measured.

**Keywords:** RGP6, RGP9, Normal piston, DI diesel engine

## INTRODUCTION

Internal combustion engines have been a relatively inexpensive and reliable source of power for applications ranging from domestic use to large scale industrial and transportation applications for most of the twentieth century. DI Diesel engines, having the evident benefit of a higher thermal efficiency than all other engines, have served for both light- duty and heavy-duty vehicles.

The in-cylinder fluid motion in internal combustion engines is one of the most important factors controlling the combustion process. It governs the fuel-air mixing and burning rates in diesel engines. The fluid flow prior to combustion in internal combustion engines is generated during the induction process and developed during the compression stroke (Xueliang and ShuSong, 1990; and Shaoxi and Wanhua, 1990).

<sup>1</sup> Mechanical Engineering Department, JNTUACEA, AnantapuramU, India.

Therefore, a better understanding of fluid motion during the induction process is critical for developing engine designs with the most desirable operating and emission characteristics (Wu Zhijun and Huang Zhen, 2001).

To obtain a better combustion with lesser emissions in direct— injection diesel engines, it is necessary to achieve a good spatial distribution of the injected fuel throughout the entire space (Arturo de Risi *et al.*, 2003). This requires matching of the fuel sprays with combustion chamber geometry to effectively make use of the gas flows. In other words, matching the combustion chamber geometry, fuel injection and gas flows is the most crucial factor for attaining a better combustion (Herbert Schapertons and Fred Thiele, 1986). In DI diesel engines, swirl can increase the rate of fuel-air mixing (Corcione *et al.*, 1993), reducing the combustion duration for re-entrant chambers at retarded injection timings. Swirl interaction (Ogawa *et al.*, 1996) with compression induced squish flow increases turbulence levels in the combustion bowl, promoting mixing. Since the flow in the combustion chamber develops from interaction of the intake flow with the in-cylinder geometry, the goal of this work is to characterize the role of combustion chamber geometry on in-cylinder flow, thus the fuel-air mixing, combustion and pollutant formation processes.

It is evident that the effect of geometry has a negligible effect on the airflow during the intake stroke and early part of the compression stroke. But when the piston moves towards Top Dead Centre (TDC), the bowl geometry has a significant effect on air flow thereby resulting

in better atomization, better mixing and better combustion. The re-entrant chamber without central projection and with sharp edges provides higher swirl number than all other chambers (Gunabalan and Ramaprabhu, 2009).

## **INFLUENCE OF AIR MOTION IN COMBUSTION CHAMBER**

To enhance the efficiency of an engine it is important to optimize thermal efficiency, which is obtained at the highest possible compression ratio. However, if the compression ratio is too high, there is a chance to have knock, which should be avoided at all cost. A solution for this problem is to promote rapid combustion, to reduce the time available for the self-ignition to occur (Jorge Martins *et al.*, 2009).

To promote rapid combustion, sufficient large-scale turbulence (kinetic energy) is needed at the end of the compression stroke because it will result in a better mixing process of air and fuel and it will also enhance flame development. However, too much turbulence leads to excessive heat transfer from the gases to the cylinder walls, and may create problems of flame propagation (Stone, 1989; Blair, 1999; and Lumley, 2001). The key to efficient combustion is to have enough swirl in the combustion chamber prior to ignition.

In order to provide complete combustion at a constant rate, there is common design objective of bringing sufficient air in contact with the injected fuel particles. For this purpose, the piston crown and the cylinder head are shaped to induce a swirling motion to air, while during compression piston is moving towards TDC. The production of

turbulence, i.e., swirl by different means, however, is considered necessary for better fuel-air mixing. The complexities of production and the higher costs of these methods of creating turbulence are the limiting factors in their wider use.

An increase in air swirl level is noted to increase the air mass of all zones. Thus at the moment when the mixture first ignites in one zone, all other zones approaching their self-ignition temperature contain more air. Increased swirl results in an increase in the initial combustion rate and hence a higher rate of pressure rise is expected (Payri *et al.*, 1990).

The Swirl can be generated in the diesel engine by modifying three parameters in the engine, they are the cylinder head, the piston, i.e., modification of combustion chamber and the inlet manifold (Lin and Ogura, 1995). Somender Singh (2001) has invented a multi impingement wall head is located at the center of the cylinder head to enhance the swirl and squish. Somender Singh has identified a method to improve turbulence in combustion chamber by making grooves on the cylinder head, to reduce the heat losses; the burn time needs to be as quick as possible. According to Ammar Al-Rousan (2008) swirl is generated in the inlet manifold by inserting a loop in side the intake manifolds to increase the swirling in the air during induction. Rasul and Glasgow (2005) prepared a convergent-divergent induction nozzle and is tested in order to increase the airflow into the engine, which may increase the overall performance.


Prasad *et al.* (2011a and 2011b) and Prasad and Pandurangadu (2013) experimentally investigation on influence of the

air swirl in the cylinder upon the performance and emission of a single cylinder diesel direct injection engine is presented. In order to achieve the different swirl intensities in the cylinder, three design parameters have been changed the cylinder head, piston crown, and inlet duct. In this way, the piston crown is modified, i.e., alteration of combustion chamber to enhance the turbulence in the cylinder. This intensification of the swirl is done by cutting grooves on the crown of the piston. Performed experimentally different configurations of piston, i.e., in the order grooves intensify the swirl for better mixing of fuel and air and their effects on the performance and emissions.


## **EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND PROCEDURE**

The experimental set up consists of engine, an alternator, top load system, fuel tank along with immersion heater, exhaust gas measuring digital device and manometer. The engine which is supplied by M/s Anil Company. The engine is single cylinder vertical type four stroke, Water-cooled, compression ignition engine. The engine is self governed type whose specifications are given in Appendix 1 is used in the present work. The above engine is one of the extensively used engines in industrial sector in India. This engine can withstand the peak pressures encountered because of its original high compression ratio. Further, the necessary modifications on the cylinder head and piston crown can be easily carried out in this type of engine. Hence this engine is selected for the present project work. As first said, allowed to run the engine for about 20 min with normal piston, so that it gets warmed up and steady running conditions are

**Figure 1: Experimental Setup of the Test Engine**



**Figure 2: Different Types of Configurations of Piston Crowns**



attained. The experiments were conducted in diesel engine with grooved pistons of 6 and 9 to know the performance and emissions.

The test is carried on the ANIL Engine for the following

- NP Normal piston
- RGP 6 Rhombus 6 grooves with piston
- RGP 9 Rhombus 9 grooves with piston

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Experiments are carried out on 4- stroke single cylinder ANIL diesel engine using existing

**Table 1: Specifications of Diesel Engine Used for Experimentation**

Item	Specifications
Engine power	3.75 kW
Cylinder bore	80 mm
Stroke length	110 mm
Engine speed	1500 rpm
Compression ratio	16.5:1
Swept volume	553 cc

piston and 2 different configurations of grooved pistons which are generally known as RGP 6 and RGP 9 respectively.

The performance of the engine is evaluated in terms of brake specific fuel consumption, brake thermal efficiency, exhaust gas temperature and volumetric efficiency. The emission characteristics of the engine are studied in terms, concentration of HC, CO and CO<sub>2</sub>. The results obtained by the piston with grooves are compared with that of the normal piston. Due to experimental constraints, the present investigation has restricted the experimentation up to 2000 W only and could not conduct up to peak load of 3750 W.



### Load vs Brake Specific Fuel Consumption

The variations in the Break Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) with load is presented in the Figure 3 for the normal piston. It is found that the BSFC falls with increasing load up to 2000 W. Due to experimental constraints, the present investigation has restricted the experimentation up to 2000 W only and could not conduct up to peak load of 3750 W. Probably the BSFC may increase with load after 2000 W. From Figure 3 it can be observed that, BSFC is maximum for normal piston, minimum for RGP 9, and in between these two for RGP 6 and RGP 9 at a given

load. A similar trend in BSFC can be observed at all the loads considered in present work. The BSFC values of 0.51 kg/kWh for normal piston, 0.40 kg/kWh for RGP 6 and 0.39 kg/kWh for RGP 9 at a load of 2000 W is obtained. It is also observed that the RGP 9 has the lowest fuel consumption which is 20.01% lower than that of normal piston, because of the complete combustion of the charge in the combustion chamber by liberating maximum energy, due to the inducement of enhanced air swirl in the combustion chamber.

### Load vs Brake Thermal Efficiency

The variation of brake thermal efficiency with respect to load for piston with grooves and normal piston shown in Figure 4. Due to experimental constraints, the present investigation has restricted the representation up to 2000 W only and could not conduct up to peak load of 3750 W. Probably the brake thermal efficiency may increase with load after 2000 W. From Figure 4. It can be observed of brake thermal efficiency is minimum for normal piston and maximum for RGP 6, RGP 9 at a given load. A similar trend in brake thermal efficiency can be observed at all the loads considered in present work. The brake thermal




efficiency values are of 17.08% for normal piston, 20.07% for RGP 6 and 21.00% for RGP 9 at a load of 2000 W. It is also observed that RGP 9 is a gain which is 24.5% higher than that of normal piston, due to the enhanced air swirl in the combustion chamber which resulted in better mixing of Air and Fuel (A/F) as well as complete combustion of the charge in combustion chamber.

**Load vs Volumetric Efficiency**

The variation of volumetric efficiency for piston with grooves and normal piston shown in Figure 5. Due to experimental constraints, the present investigation has restricted the experimentation up to 2000 W only and could not conduct up to peak load of 3750 W. It is observed that, volumetric efficiency is minimum for normal piston and maximum for piston with grooves RGP 6, RGP 9 at a given load. A similar in volumetric efficiency can be observed at all the loads considered in present work. The volumetric efficiency values are 49.32% for normal piston, 57.95.00% for RGP 6 and 65.32% for RGP 9 at load of 2000 W. It is also observed that the RGP 9 is a gain which is 33.48% higher than that of normal piston.


**Figure 5: Load vs Volumetric Efficiency**



**Load vs Exhaust Gas Temperature**

The variation of exhaust gas temperature presented in normal piston and piston with grooves are shown in Figure 6. Due to experimental constraints, the present investigation has restricted the experimentation up to 2000 W only and could not conduct up to peak load of 3750 W. The exhaust gas temperature of piston RGP 9 is lower when compared to other piston groove (RGP 6) and normal piston. The exhaust gas temperature values are 315 °C for normal piston, 290 °C for RGP 6 and 280 °C for RGP 9 at a load of 2000 W. It is observed that the RGP 9 has the lowest exhaust gas temperature which is 12.12% lower than that of normal piston.


**Figure 6: Load vs Exhaust Gas Temperature**



**Load vs Hc Emission**

The variations of hydrocarbon emission in the exhaust are shown in Figure 7. Unburnt hydrocarbon emission is because of incomplete combustion. It is apparent that the hydrocarbon emission is decreasing with increase in the turbulence, which results in complete combustion at the load 2000 W. Maximum reduction of hydrocarbon emissions

**Figure 7: Load vs Hydro Carbons**




levels is about 64.70%, 50.00% for RGP 9, RGP 6, compared with the normal piston. It is clear that the emissions concentrations for the piston with grooves are lower than Bharath Stage III norms.

**Load vs CO Emission**

From Figure 8 the variations of carbon monoxide with respect to load are observed for normal piston and piston with grooves. The results show that CO emission of the piston with grooves is slightly lower than with the normal piston. Carbon monoxide from the exhaust gas for the normal piston is 0.10% by

**Figure 8: Load vs Carbon Monoxide**



vol., and for RGP 6 and RGP 9 are , 0.09% by vol., and 0.06% vol., respectively. It is also observed that the RGP 9 reduction in CO level is about 30.35% compared with the normal piston. It is clear that the emissions concentrations are lower than Bharath Stage III up to a load of 2000 W.

**CONCLUSION**

The following conclusions are drawn based on the present investigation.

- The maximum increase in brake thermal efficiency for RGP 9, RGP 6 compared to normal piston was found to be 21.00%, 20.07% respectively.
- The reduction in the brake specific fuel consumption for RGP6, RGP 9 compared to normal piston was found to be 16.28%, 20.01% respectively.
- The maximum increase in Volumetric efficiency for RGP 9, RGP 6 compared to normal piston was found to be 32.48%, 17.49% respectively.
- The exhaust gas temperatures are minimized for RGP 9, RGP 6 as compared to normal piston was found to be 12.12%, 8.13% respectively.
- The carbon monoxide emissions for RGP 9, RGP 6 are found to be reduced by 30%, 20% respectively.

From the above conclusions, the RGP 9 piston configuration can be suggested on diesel engine compared with the other piston configurations.

**REFERENCES**

1. Ammar A Al-Rousan (2008), "Study on Improvement of Fuel Economy and

- Reduction Emission for a Gasoline Engines by Homogeneity Enhancement of the Charge”, *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 2, pp. 1012-1020, INS Inet Publication.
2. Arturo de Risi, Teresa Donateo and Domenico Laforgia (2003), “Optimization of the Combustion Chamber of Direct Injection Diesel Engines”, SAE2003-01-1064.
  3. Blair G P (1999), “Design and Simulation of Four-Stroke Engines”, SAE, Warrendale, USA.
  4. Corcione F E, Annunziata Fusca and Gerardo Valentino (1993), “Numerical and Experimental Analysis of Diesel Air Fuel Mixing”, SAE931948.
  5. Ganesan V (2004), *Internal Combustion Engine*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Tata-McGraw Hill.
  6. Gunabalan A and Ramaprabhu (November 2009), “Effect of Piston Bowl Geometry on Flow, Combustion and Emission in DI Diesel Engine—A CFD Approach”, *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*.
  7. Herbert Schapertons and Fred Thiele (1986), “Three Dimensional Computations for Flow Fields in DI Piston Bowls”, SAE60463.
  8. Heywood J B (1988), “Internal Combustion Engine Fundamentals”, McGraw Hill.
  9. Jorge Martins, Senhorinhateixeira and Stijncoene (2009), “Design of an Inlet Track of a Small IC Engine for Swirl Enhancement”, Proceedings of COBEM, 20<sup>th</sup> International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, November 15-20.
  10. Lin B and Ogura M (1995), “A New Multi-Impingement-Wall Head Diffusion Combustion System (NICS-MH) of a DI Diesel Engine—The Effect of Combustion Chamber Geometry”, SAE Paper No. 951792.
  11. Lumley J L (2001), “Early Work on Fluid Mechanics in the IC Engine”, *Annual Reviews, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 33, No. 1.
  12. Ogawa H, Matsui Y, Kimura S and Kawashima J (1996), “Three Dimensional Computations of the Effects of the Swirl Ratio in Direct-Injection Diesel Engines on NO<sub>x</sub> and Soot Emissions”, SAE961125.
  13. Payri J V Benajes and lapuerta M (1990), “The Effect of Air Swirl on the Combustion Process on DI Diesel Engine”, *International Symposium*, pp. 545-550, Comodia.
  14. Prasad S L V and Pandurangadu V (2013), “Enhancing Air Swirl in Diesele engine with Grooved Cylinder Head”, *International Journal of Innovation Research in Science*, ISO 3297:2007, Vol. 2, pp. 3821-3826.
  15. Prasad S L V, Pandurangadu V, Prasanthi G, Prathibha Bharathi V V and Naga Deepthi V V (2011a), “The Effect of Air Swirl in a Diesel Engine”, *African Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 204-212, ISSN: 2220-9433.
  16. Prasad S L V, Pandurangadu V, Prasanthi G, Prathibha Bharathi V V and Naga



- 
- Deepthi V V (2011b), "Effect of Intake Manifold Air Swirl on Diesel Engine Performance", *African Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 244-254, ISSN: 2220-9433.
17. Rasul M G and Glasgow R (2005), "Performance Improvement of an Internal Combustion Engine", Proceedings of the International Conference on Mechanical Engineering (ICME2005), ICME05-42, December 28-30, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
18. Shaoxi S and Wanhua S (1990), "Some New Advances in Engine Combustion Research", *Trans CSICE*, Vol. 8, pp. 95-104.
19. Somender Singh (2001), "Design to Improve Turbulence in Combustion", Chambers US Patent Number: 6237579, May 29.
20. Stone R (1989), "Motor Vehicle Fuel Economy", *Middlesex (England)*, Macmillan Education Ltd.
21. Wu Zhijun and Huang Zhen (2001), "In-Cylinder Swirl Formation Process in a Four-Valve Diesel Engine Experiments in Fluids", Vol. 31, pp. 467-473, Springer-Verlag.
22. Xueliang H and Shu Song L (1990), "Combustion in an Internal Combustion Engine", Mechanical Industry Press, Peking.