ISSN 2278 – 0149 www.ijmerr.com Vol. 3, No. 2, April 2014 © 2014 IJMERR. All Rights Reserved

Research Paper

ENERGY RADIATED IN STEEL PLANT BY ATTACHED FURNACE AND CASTING MEDIA BY PIPE ARRANGEMENT

M S Dhande¹* and S S Khandare²

*Corresponding Author: M S Dhande, M mahendra.shivaji@gmail.com

Heat energy loss is the very critical issue in the world and second heavy quantity of heat energy is to be loss in steel industry. In steel plant more quantity of energy is required but still the loss of energy is going on. We tried to reduce the loss by applying the suitable technique. In the every steel plant open system is used. Suppose move towords countinuous casting process there is an open casting process goes on. If apply close packing system, and inside this make a casting work, we will get the energy save. Transportation of ingots from one shop to another shop in this case heat loss at very high percentage and ingots has to be cold down. When has the rolled purpose; again we have to keep these raw material inference and rolled. In this way the loss of energy go on and this can be controlled by making all opration in single unit. In steel plant lots of sections are spread in different places, there is no coordination among each other.

Keywords: Steel plant, Furnace, Heat Energy, Pipe

INTRODUCTION

Saving of energy radiated in steel plant, furnace of steel industry directly attached to casting die. A Heat Energy Mechanisms and Solidification process are simulated for a continuous casting machine and the constructive shape of the liquid pool is predicted considering at different conditions. This model involves 3-

¹ Mechanical Engineering Department, PIET, Nagpur 34, India.

² V. M. Institute of Technology, Wardha Road, Nagpur, India.

dimentional transient energy equation. The governing equation was solved using control volume method and ansys simulation process. The boundary condition of the mold, water spray cooling and air cooling region have been defined. The mathematical model it is able to invent the shell thickness, temperature distribution in the mold and shell, interfacial gap between

Table 1: Input Data for Experimental Condition			
Steel Conductivity, K _{steel}	$f_1 \times k_{iiquid} + (1 - f_1) \times k_{solid}$	w/m⁰K	
Carbon equivalent content,	0.132	pct	
Steel density,	7500	kg/m ³	
Steel emissivity,	0.8		
Mold copper plate thickness	0.043 x 0.030	m x m	
Total mold name	0.704	m	
Mold copper plates width	2.220 x 0.215	m x m	
Mod conductivity, k _{mold}	39	w/m⁰K	
Mold powder conductivity, k _{slag}	1.27	w/m⁰K	
Air conductivity, k _{air}	0.083	w/m⁰K	
Mold powder density, _{slag}	0.653	kg/m³	
Mold powder consumption, rate, m _{slag}	0.8	Kg/ton steel	
Casting speed, Vc	0.0167	m/sec	
Pour temperature, T _{in}	1546	°C	
Liquius Temperature, T _{liquid}	1528.6	°C	
Solidius Temperature, T _{sol}	1494	°C	
Slab geometry, W x N	M1	m x m	
	M2	m x m	
	M3	m x m	
	M4	m x m	
	Bloom 1	l x b x m	
	Bloom 2	l x b x m	
	Billet 1	l x b x m	
	Billet 2	l x b x m	
	Wire 1	d	
	Wire 2	D	
	Ingot	l x b x m	
Scale conductivity on the surface of the slab, ${\rm K}_{\rm sc}$	0.5	w/m⁰K	
Scale conductivity of the surface of the mold, ${\rm K}_{\rm scale}$	1.0	w/m⁰K	
Scale thickness of the surface of the mold	0.00001	m	
Average cooling water temperature in mold	28	°C	
Water flow rate entering the mold small plate	0.0061	m³/Sec	
Water flow rater entering the mold large plate	0.0553	m³/Sec	
Latent heat of the steel phase change, L_{f}	272140	J/kg	
Water conductivity, K _{water}	0.615	w/m⁰K	
Solid steel conductivity, K _{solid}	33.0	w/m⁰K	

Steel Conductivity, K _{steel}	$f_1 \times k_{iiquid} + (1 - f_1) \times k_{solid}$	w/m⁰K
Effective molten steel conductivity, K _{liquid}	7 x 43.0	w/m⁰K
Scale thickness on the surface of the slab, ∂_{sc}	0.001	m
Steel specific heat capacity, C_P	C _p = 456 + 0.376 x T(°C) T<500	J/kg⁰K
	C _p = 268 + 0.836 x T(°C) 500 <t<700< td=""><td></td></t<700<>	
	C _p = 1431 700 <t<750< td=""><td></td></t<750<>	
	C _p = 3849 – 3.766 x T(°C) 750 <t<850< td=""><td></td></t<850<>	
	C _p = 648 850< = T<1100	
	C _p = 268 + 0.334 x T(°C) 1100< = T <t<sub>sol</t<sub>	
	$C_{p} = 772$ $T_{sol} < = T < T_{liquid}$	
	$C_{p} = 787$ $T > = T_{liquid}$	
Steel conductivity, K _{steel}		

Table 1 (Cont.)

shell and mold. The modeling results were verified by the measurement slab, billet, bloom, wire, ingot, surface temperature and a reasonable agreement was succeed. The heat exchanger arrangement provide in steel plant. The molten solution flow through the pipe and over arrangement air heat exchanger for saving the heat energy.

RESULTS

Errors in heat loss in C.C. Process (Steel Melting Shop-2) and (Steel Melting Shop-1) also in maintenance equipment.

Solution

Input heat energy required for solidification purpose, but at the same time loss of radiated



```
Figure 1 (Cont.)
```





Figure 1 (Cont.)

```
Figure 1 (Cont.)
```



heat energy collects and reutilized to run small steam power plant.

Therefore for calculation purpose need only dry coal. For April 2002 month dry coal consumption $m_f = 280316 \times 10^3/30$ days = 389327.78 kg/h

Therefore input heat energy supplied

 $Q = m_f x CV$

Q = 389327.78 kg/h x 30400 kj/kg

Q(i/p) = 3287656.8 kj/sec or kw

Output in the month of April 2002

Table 2: Heat Loss Calculation				
Q _{conduction}	Q _{radiation}	Q _{convection}		
Sheet 1 to 5	Sheet 1 to 5	Sheet 1 to 5		
Total = 19819	Total = 81457	Total = 861022.92 x G (Effect to Thermal conductivity)		
Adding output = Q _{conduction} + Q _{radiation} + Q _{convection}				
QTotal _(o/p) = 3114856.2				

Efficiency of Steel Plant = Output/input = 0.9474 (or) 94.7439%

%age error = (Input – Output) x 100/Output = 5.5476262%

CONCLUSION

In Energy Loss

- Smaller plant layout.
- Manpower reduction.
- Indirectly operating cost will reduced.
- Building cost will reduce.
- Indirectly help to government finance ministry.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am very much thankful to my guide Dr. S S Khandare, Principal of VMIT Nagpur. to constantly encourage me to make research work on this topic.

REFERENCES

- Bruce Kozak S M S and Joseph Dzierzawsk S (2011), "Continuous Casting of Steel: Basic Principles", *The Steel Network (Media)*, pp. 1-9.
- Johan Isaksson, Simon Harvey, Carl-Erik Grip and Jonny Karlsson (2011), "Possibilities to Implement Pinch Analysis in the Steel Industry—A Case Study at SSAB EMEA in Lulea", pp. 1660-1667, Industrial Energy Efficiency (IEE), World Renewable Energy Congress, Sweden.
- 3. Pedro Gutemberg Q Netto and Roderick I L Guthrie (2000), "The Importance of

Turbulence Modeling in the Design of a Novel Delivery System for a Single-Belt Steel Casting Process", *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, Vol. 43, pp. 21-37.

 Ryosuke Tasaki, Yoshiyuki Noda, Kazuhiro Terashima and Kunihiro Hashimoto (2011), "Modeling and Control of Pressurized Molten Metal in Press Casting", Preprints of the 18th IFAC World Congress Milano (Italy), August 28-September 2, pp. 11726-11731.