The Approach to Determine the Elastic Characteristic of the Contact of Rough Surfaces

Mikhail N. Zakharov and Mikhail S. Kuts

Bauman Moscow State Technical University/Fundamentals of machine design, Moscow, Russia Email: {zmn, kuts}@bmstu.ru

Abstract-Contact zone has a major effect on machine stiffness. For an accurate calculation, the contact stiffness should be known. This paper presents the approach to determine the elastic characteristic of the contact layer formed by two rough surfaces. Factors affected the shape of contact surfaces and the existing methods of its modelling are put into consideration and a new model of contact surface profile is proposed. The determination of elastic characteristic was made by computing microscale simulation of contact zone unit volume deformation under a compressive force. The simulation was performed using the Finite Elements Method. The average contact properties were obtained as the result of multiple randomized simulations. The simulation of contact layer compression shows that the contact stiffness almost linearly decreases with the increasing of the mean spacing of profile irregularities and the decreasing of the mean waviness height.

Index Terms—elastic rough contact, numerical property identification, contact detection

I. INTRODUCTION

A. The Problem of Mechanical Interaction between Jointed Parts of Machines.

For producing the high-quality machines it's necessary to know its mechanical behaviour under both static and dynamic load [1-4]. The most common method for estimation of mechanical behaviour of construction is the Finite Elements Method (FEM), which easily allows calculating deformation of solid bodies. But since machines are made of a lot of different parts, the estimation of its mechanical behaviour cannot be done without taking into account the features of the deformation characteristics of a contact layer between the machine parts [5]. Usual contact layer can be replaced by the third body with equivalent parameters when making the simulation [6]. And there is a problem to arouse: an elastic characteristic of the contact layer is supposed to be determined correctly.

B. Existed Approaches for Simulation of Contact Layer Behaviour

Since 1930s a great number of works have been dedicated to the problem of contact stiffness. E.g. those by D. N. Reshetov and Z. M. Levina [7,8] are based on an equation for calculation of contact convergence:

$$\delta = c p^m, \tag{1}$$

Manuscript received July 1, 2019; revised May 21, 2020.

where δ – contact convergence, μ m; p – contact pressure, MPa; m – exponent; c – empirical coefficient, μ m · MPa^{-m}. This equation was firstly proposed by A. P. Sokolovskiy in , which stated that convergence occurs due to the deformation of roughness peaks and contact stiffness would change with the increasing of normal load F_N . Physical model of contact convergence shown in Fig. 1.

A disadvantage of this approach is that it needs to conduct tests for defining parameters values in every irregular case.

Later, A. S. Ivanov developed a model contact deformation, which considers the effect of surface roughness and elastic modulus of contact parts [10-12]:

$$\delta = Ra \ c_0 \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{p}{E^*}},\tag{2}$$

where Ra_{-} average deviation of roughness profile, c_0 coefficient, which considers the direction of contact surfaces roughness, ε – scale factor, which consider surface waviness and form error, $E^* = ((1 - \mu_1^2)/E_1 + (1 - \mu_2^2)/E_2)^{-1}$ – equivalent modulus of elasticity, in which E – Yang modulus and μ – Poisson's ratio of each of parts, p – contact pressure in the contact layer. This work was an attempt to build the model acceptable on the design stage. But this model has almost the same drawback as the approach based on the usage of (1) – it has a small accuracy without clarification of scale factor ε for new irregular cases of surfaces roughness and waviness of contact parts.

In addition to these works, those by N. B. Demkin and V. V. Izmailov [13-17], M. M. Matlin [18-21] and others, which are described in detail in , should also be mentioned. But all of them have empirical or semiempirical character, when elastic characteristic defined by an equation, in which coefficients get from the natural or model experiments. In this regard, it's necessary to develop a method to determine the elastic characteristic of rough contact, which would be acceptable in the design stage and will not need additional tests conduction.

C. Aim and Objectives of the Investigation.

In this investigation, the contact layer between two parts connected by a bolted joint was considered. The aim of the investigation is the development of the method allowing to determine an elastic characteristic of the contact layer. For accomplishing this particular task, the following objectives were stated:

- 1. Development of the numerical procedure of elastic properties determination took into account the mechanical properties of contacted parts and real geometry of their surfaces.
- 2. Development of the model of the rough surface profile.
- 3. Carrying out a simulation of contact layer deformation under the compressive load.



Figure 1. Physical model of contact convergence.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED AND ALGORITHM OF ELASTIC CHARACTERISTIC DETERMINATION

A. The Geometry of the Real Surface

There are 4 types of geometric deviation:

- *The error of the form*: widely spaced single deviations of the real surface from the nominal surface caused by errors and elastic deflections of the part or machining equipment;
- *Waviness*: periodical regular deviations of surface shape in the form of peaks and valleys with a height from 0.3 to 500µm and a repeating period from 0.8 to 10 mm, which are typically caused by machine vibrations;
- Roughness: a complex of deviations with spacing from 2 to 800µm and height from 0.01 to 400µm, which general source is the geometry of cutting tool and strategy of machining;
- Subroughness: the irregular surface shape deviations of small size (2–20nm) that usually result from the inherent action of the production process or material condition.

Parameters that characterize roughness (Fig. 2) and waviness (Fig. 3) are standardized in . In the present work the following parameters were used:

• *Ra* – *the average deviation of the roughness profile*, which describes roughness magnitude and is defined by:

$$Ra = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^{L_w} h(l) dl \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_i$$

• *RSm – the mean spacing of profile irregularities*, which describe the roughness period of repeating and is defined by:

$$RSm = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Sm_i.$$

• Wt – the mean waviness height, which describes waviness magnitude and is defined by:



Figure 2. Illustration of calculation of roughness parameters



Figure 3. Illustration of calculation of waviness parameters

• *RSw* – *the average waviness spacing*, which describes the waviness period of repeating and is defined by:

$$RSw = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Sw_i.$$

B. Assumptions

The approach presented in this paper was made under the following assumptions, which are caused by the features of the bolted joints:

- 1. only elastic deformation considered because bolted joints undergo a "training" process, which leads to crumpling of the roughness peaks;
- 2. surfaces of contact parts machined by milling, turning or planing usually, which define a certain profile of the surface;
- 3. surfaces with the direct lay of the texture, which allow considering of the flat contact problem;
- 4. the surface profile contains three levels of the deviations: low-frequency deviations (waviness and error of form), mid-frequency deviations (roughness) and high-frequency deviations;
- 5. in the investigation, the "equivalent rough surface" was used, proposed in , which allow replacing contact of two rough surfaces by contact of rough and flat surfaces.

C. FE Formalization of the Contact Problem

Determination of contact layer elastic properties was carried out by simulation of contact interaction using the finite element analysis. The mentioned contact problem represents a flat FEM problem with kinematic loading. A calculation scheme of the problem is shown in Fig. 4.

The main equation of FEM is

$$K\delta = F$$
,

where \mathbf{K} – global stiffness matrix, $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ – vector of mesh nods displacements and \mathbf{F} – vector of external loads. The procedure of matrix \mathbf{K} and vector \mathbf{F} assembling described

in detail in . Finite element mesh was being built to be coherent, which allow finding contacted surface points by simple comparison:

$$y_i^{c1} > y_i^{c2}$$

where y_i^{c1} and y_i^{c2} is vertical coordinates of nodes of bottom and top contact surfaces. After the contact points



Figure 4. Calculation scheme of the considered contact problem.

were found, the stiffness matrix \mathbf{K} must be modified because their displacements should be compatible. For achieving the compatibility of connected nodes displacements, the penalty function method was used. According to this method, a "penalty" element is generated between nodes, which should be constrained (see Fig. 5). This element can be expressed by the following relations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} w & -w \\ -w & w \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_i \\ u_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} f_i \\ f_j \end{cases},$$

where w – stiffness of the "penalty" element; i and j – indices of constrained nodes in the global stiffness matrix. The global stiffness matrix should be modified as:

$$k_{ii} = k_{ii} + w;$$

$$\tilde{k}_{jj} = k_{jj} + w;$$

$$\tilde{k}_{ji} = k_{ji} - w;$$

$$\tilde{k}_{ij} = k_{ij} - w;$$

And a reaction caused by compression of the penalty element should be added to the forces vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i &= f_i - w \Delta y_{ij}; \\ \tilde{f}_j &= f_j + w \Delta y_{ij}; \end{aligned}$$

where Δy_{ij} – distance between the nodes in projection on the axis through which the constraint is generated. If penetrations are not allowed, a big number, as 10^{15} , should be taken as the stiffness of the "penalty" element.

A flow chart of the full algorithm of the elastic characteristic determination of the contact layer is shown in Fig. 6.

D. Model of the Rough Surface

Relying on the described structures of the surface profile, its general equation can be defined by:

 $h(l) = h_l^s(l) + h_l^r(l) + h_m^s(l) + h_m^r(l) + h_h^r(l)$, where $h_l^s(l) - a$ systematic component of low-frequency deviations, $h_l^r(x) - a$ random component of lowfrequency deviations, $h_m^s(l) - a$ systematic component of mid-frequency deviations, $h_m^r(l) - a$ random component of mid-frequency deviations, $h_h^r(l) - a$ component of high-frequency deviations (contain only random component).

The random component of the surface profile deviations can be obtained by cubic interpolation of random points which correspond to the β -distribution. A

distance between the point is defined by spacing parameters, and magnitude of distribution is defined by the height parameters.

Systematic component of waviness can be expressed by sine function:

$$h_l^s(l) = W_t \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{RSw}l + \phi_0\right),$$

where ϕ_0 – initial waviness phase, which can be taken as zero.



Figure 5. Illustration of multi-point constraints applying.



Figure 6. Flow chart of the contact layer elastic characteristic determination algorithm.

For the systematic component of roughness the following equation was used:

$$h_m^s(l) = \frac{k \cdot Ra}{\max_{[0,L_w]} f(l) + \min_{[0,L_w]} f(l)} f(l) - \frac{\left(\max_{[0,L_w]} f(l) + \min_{[0,L_w]} f(l)\right)}{2}$$

where k – coefficient, which equals to 5.5 for considered machining methods and f(l) – chosen roughness form function, defined by

$$f(l) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \exp(-|l - i \cdot RSm|).$$

An example of a real surface profile measured by electronic profilometer and corresponding simulated surface profile are shown in Fig.7 (a, b). Good convergence of profiles and their bearing area curve (c) show the correctness of the chosen model.

III. NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF THE CONTACT LAYER COMPRESSION

A. Numerical Calculation

The algorithm of the elastic characteristic determination of the contact layer was implemented by means of Python for fast matrix calculation, scipy for SLE solving, *matplotlib* for plotting the results of calculations and triangle from meshpy for FE-mesh generation. Calculations were performed on a representative cell of the contact layer. The length of the cell was chosen to ensure acceptable calculation time and accuracy and was equal to 1.6 mm. The height of the cell was chosen by the recommendation of the as 10 values of the average deviation of the roughness profile Ra. Elements size in the contact area was chosen as 2 µm by the results of pretest and had been increasing near the bottom and top sides of the cell for decreasing the calculation time. An example of the generated representative cell of the contact layer is shown in Fig. 8.



Figure 7. A profile example of measured (a) and simulated (b) planned surfaces and their bearing area curve (c).





Figure 9. Example of stress field calculation of contact cell



Figure 10. Calculation results of the average elastic characteristics of the contact layer (dashed line – characteristic obtained with xWt=1.0 and xRSm=120)

The value of the iteration was chosen for the reason of accuracy by the results of pretests and was equal to Ra/15.

According to π -theorem, the number of calculations can be reduced if we introduce a dimensionless displacements *x* and dimensionless analogues of surface profile parameters *xRSm* and *xWt*:

$$x = \delta / Ra;$$

$$xRSm = RSm/Ra;$$

$$xWt = Wt/Ra$$
.

B. Calculation Results

An example of the stress field calculated in the last iteration of compression of the contact cell is shown in Fig. 9.

Tests were repeated thirty times for each combination of parameters used. The results of the calculation are shown in Fig. 10 as the matrix of mean elastic characteristics with deviation. On the plots the higher characteristics correspond to the contact layer with the higher stiffness.

Obtained characteristics were approximated by (2) with c_0 as the variable parameter. The results of approximation are presented in Table I.

IV. ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS

As far as the calculations demonstrate, the changing of the average waviness spacing Sw does not take a matter on the elastic characteristics of the contact layer and is not shown in Fig. 10. The presented results vividly show that stiffness of the contact layer decreases with te increasing of the waviness height Wt and the decreasing of the roughness spacing RSm. The results of the approximation show that parameter c_0 linearly relies on the roughness spacing RSm. It also needs to be mentioned that the deviation of the characteristics increases with the increasing of the waviness height which could lead to the calculation errors.

TABLE I. VALUES OF THE MODEL PARAMETER c_0

xWt xRSm	0.5	1.0	2.0
80	58.27	55.95	47.04
120	52.95	50.20	42.51
160	46.92	44.42	36.15

V. CONCLUSION

Within the frames of this work the approach to determine the elastic characteristic of the contact layer used the real profile of the contact surfaces, which allow avoiding the natural compressive experiments, was proposed. For designing calculations of contact compression, in case we do not have information about the profile of contact surfaces, the developed model of rough surface profile based on the description of surface texture by standard parameters and their distribution character can be used, which was confirmed by comparison of experimental data.

The numerical simulation of contact layer compression shows that the contact stiffness almost linearly decreases with the increasing of the mean spacing of profile irregularities and the decreasing of the mean waviness height. Accounting of these parameters increases the calculation accuracy up to 30%.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Formulation of the aim and objectives of the investigation, development of the algorithm of elastic characteristic determination and result analysis were made by Mikhail N. Zakharov.

Development of the rough surface profile model, the contact cells generating, conducting of numerical calculation and preparing the paper were made by Mikhail S. Kuts.

All authors have approved the final version.

REFERENCES

- P. A. Laryushkin and V. A. Glazunov, "On the estimation of closeness to singularity for parallel mechanisms using generalized velocities and reactions," B *Proc. of the 14th IFToMM World Congress*, 2015.
- [2] P. Laryushkin, V. Glazunov, and K. Erastova, "On the maximization of joint velocities and generalized reactions in the workspace and singularity analysis of parallel mechanisms," *Robotica*, no. 37, pp. 675-690, 2019.
- [3] S. A. Voronov, I. A. Kiselev, and W. Ma, "Influence of technological system's rigidity on the dynamics of grinding process of flexible parts," B MATEC Web of Conferences, 2018.
- [4] P. A. Laryushkin, M. N. Zakharov, K. G. Erastova, and V. A. Glazunov, "Spherical manipulator with parallel structure," *Russian Engineering Research*, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 585-588, 2017.
- [5] V. Zhulev and M. Kuts, "Contact models verification by the finite element model updating method based on the calculation of the sensitivity coefficient," in *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 2018.
- [6] M. S. Kuts, "Pressure distribution in the area around a tightening single bolt joint," in *Proc. Higher Educational Institutions. Machine Buildings*, no. 1, pp. 3-11, 2019.
- [7] D. N. Reshetov and Z. M. Levina, "Calculations of Machines on the Contact Stiffness," *Machines and Tools*, no. 1, 1951.
- [8] D. N. Reshetov and Z. M. Levina, "Calculations on the Contact Stiffness in Machine Building," in *Questions of material and construction strength. Proceedings.*, pp. 375-392, 1959.
- [9] A. P. Sokolovskij, *Stiffness in Machine Building Technology*, M.-L.: Mashgiz, 1946, p. 208.
- [10] A. S. Ivanov and V. V. Izmailov, "Calculation of contact deformation in machine design," *Friction & Lubrication in Machines and Mechanisms*, no. 8, pp. 3-10, 2006.
- [11] A. S. Ivanov, "Normal, angle and tangential stiffness of the flat joint," *Vestnik Mashinostroeniya*, no. 7, pp. 34-37, 2007.
- [12] A. S. Ivanov and M. M. Ermolaev, "Tangent yielding of rough layer," in *Proc. Higher Educational Institutions. Machine Buildings*, no.13, pp. 23-2510 2012.
- [13] N. B. Demkin, Actual Contact Area of Hard surfaces, USSR Scientif Academy, 1962, p. 110.
- [14] N. B. Demkin, Contact of the Rough Surfaces, Science, 1970, p. 227.
- [15] N. B. Demkin and V. V. Izmailov, "Expansion of studies on a machinery contact interaction," *Vestnik Mashinostroeniya*, no.10, pp. 28-31, 2008.
- [16] N. B. Demkin, V. A. Alekseev, V. V. Izmailov and A. N. Bolotov, "Contact of rough wavy surfaces with consideration of mutual effect of asperities," *Friction & Lubrication*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 231-237, 2008.
- [17] N. B. Demkin and V. V. Izmailov, "Some results of the contact simulation of rough surfaces," in *Mechanics and Phisics of Processes on the Surface and in the Contact of Solid Bodies*, *Parts of Technological and Power Equipment*, 2009, pp. 29-35.
- [18] M. Matlin, E. Kazankina and V. Kazankin, "Mechanics of initial dot contact," *Mechanika Kaunas: Technologija*, vol. 76, no.2, pp. 20-23, 2009.
- [19] M. M. Matlin, E. N. Kazankina and V. A. Kazankin, "Calculation of the actual contact area between a single microasperity and the smooth surface of a part when the hardnesses of their materials are similar," *Journal of Friction and Wear*, vol. 32, no. 2 pp. 140-144, 2011.
- [20] M. M. Matlin, A. I. Mozgunova, E. N. Kazankina and V. A. Kazankin, "Calculating real area of contact of single microasperity modeled by a cylinder with smooth surface," *Journal of Friction and Wear*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 391-397, 2013.
- [21] M. M. Matlin, A. I. Mozgunova, E. N. Kazankina and V. A.

Kazankin, *Stiffness of the Elastoplastic Contact of Cachine Parts*, M: Mashinostroenie, 2015, p. 217.

- [22] Y. Ito, Modular Design for Machine Tools, McGraw Hill Professional, 2008, p. 504.
- [23] G. Liu, Q. Wang, and C. Lin, "A survey of current models for simulating the contact between rough surfaces," *Tribology Transactions*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 581-591, 1999.
- [24] G. Stachowiak and A. W. Batchelor, *Engineering Tribology*, Butterworth-Heinemann, 2013, p. 852.
- [25] Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS)—Surface Texture: Profile Method—Motif Parameters, ISO Standart 12085:1996.
- [26] Surface Texture, Surface Roughness, Waviness and Lay, ASME Standart B46.1-2009.
- [27] O. C. Zienkiewicz, R. L. Taylor and J. Z. Zhu, *The Finite Element Method for Solid and Structural Mechanics*, Elsevier, 2005, p.736.
- [28] N. Kim, Introduction to Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis, Springer Science & Business Media, 2014, p. 430.
- [29] T. E. Oliphant, A Guide to NumPy, USA: Trelgol Publishing, 2006, p. 378.
- [30] S. Van Der Walt, S. C. Colbert and G. Varoquaux, "The NumPy array: A structure for efficient numerical computation," *Computing in Science & Engineering*, no. 13, pp. 22-30, 2011.
- [31] E. Jones, T. Oliphant, P. Peterson, et al. others, SciPy: Open source scientific tools for Python, 2001-, [Online; accessed 2019-08-21]. Available: http://www.scipy.org/.
- [32] J. D. Hunter, "Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment," Computing in Science & Engineering, no. 9, pp. 90-95, 2007.
- [33] J. R. Shewchuk, "Triangle: Engineering a 2D quality mesh generator and Delaunay triangulator," in *Applied Computational Geometry: Towards Geometric Engineering*, vol. 1148, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, pp. 203-222.
- [34] J. R. Shewchuk, "Delaunay refinement algorithms for triangular mesh generation," *Computational Geometry*, vol. 22, pp. 21-74, 2002.
- [35] A. Klöckner, Welcome to MeshPy's documentation! MeshPy 2018.2.1 documentation, 2008-, [Online; accessed 2019-08-21]. Available: https://documen.tician.de/meshpy/.
- [36] A. S. Ivanov, "Tolshina kontaktnogo sloya," [Thickness of the contact layer], Vestnik Mashinostroeniya, no. 12, pp. 21-23, 2006.

Copyright © 2020 by the authors. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided that the article is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.



Mikhail N. Zakharov (Moscow, Russia, 1962-11-29) graduated from Bauman Moscow Higher Technical School (now BMSTU) at 1985, speciality "Dynamics and strength of machines". Candidate dissertation "Development of methods for solving problems of metal stamping in a two-phase state", MSTU. N.E. Bauman (1990); Doctoral dissertation "Methodology for assessing the bearing capacity of pipelines with local defects", SSC RF TSNIITMASH

(2002).

He completed a two-semester course at the Graduate School of Enterprise Management in Koblenz (Otto-Beisheim-Hochschule) and an internship at the German concern Rurgaz (1994-1995), short-term professional development at universities in Finland and Sweden (2011), Great Britain (2012), Switzerland (2013). He worked at following positions: engineer, graduate student, junior researcher at MSTU. N.E. Bauman (1985-1991); Associate Professor, doctoral candidate, professor of the Russian State University of Oil and Gas named after I.M. Gubkin (1991-2012). Since 2013 he works on position of Head of the Department of Fundamentals of Machine Design, at BMSTU, Moscow. The author of 100 scientific papers. Among them: Strength of vessels and pipelines with wall defects in oil and gas production. M .: Oil and gas, 2000; Control and minimization of enterprise costs in the logistics system. M .: Examination, 2006; Fundamentals of equipment reliability theory. M.: BMSTU, 2011; Strength reliability of equipment. M.: BMSTU, 2011; Situations of engineering and economic analysis. M.: Publishing House of BMSTU., 2014.

Prof. Zakharov is a member of the dissertation council of BMSTU in the speciality "Engineering, drive systems and machine parts.", member of the Academic Council of BMSTU. Prof. Zakharov was awarded an honorary diploma of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (2012)



Mikhail S. Kuts (Staryi Krym, Ukraine, 1992-12-24) graduated from magistracy of BMSTU at 2017, specialty "Machine Building".

He worked in BMSTU since 2012 as engineer and then as an assistant lecturer. Now he takes the position of an associate lecturer at Department of Fundamentals of Machine Design of BMSTU. He is an author of 25 publications, among which is: Ivanov

A.S. and Kuts M.S. "Load Capacity Of A Radial Ball Bearing With Increased Radial Clearance," *Russian Engineering Research*, vol. 38. no. 8. pp. 573-578, 2018; Kuts M.S. "An Experimental Study of the Effect of Screw Tightening on the Resonance Frequencies of a Cantilever Beam," *Proceedings of Higher Educational Institutions. Machine Building*, no. 9, pp. 37–43, 2018, doi: 10.18698/0536-1044-2018-9-37-43; A. S. Ivanov, M.S. Kuts, V.O. Nagibina and M. A. Popov, "Rigidity of Coupling Shafts," *Russian Engineering Research*, vol. 39, no. 5, 2019, doi: 10.3103/S1068798X19050101.