Abstract—We evaluate the influence of mechanical parameters on the decay rate of elastic waves in fluid-saturated media with bubbles. The parameters describe the complex rheological scheme adopted as a model of the grainy porous medium. Areas of validity of the model in the space of mechanical parameters are determined.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Wave propagation in fluid-saturated porous media attracts constant attention of researchers and engineers due to the many applications in geomechanics, oil extraction industry and because of theoretical significance [1]-[7]. Recently we used [8] an extended rheological model relative to the earlier model of Nikolaevskiy [9, 10], to study the influence of the bubbles on the linear Frenkel–Biot waves of P1 type. The rheological model for the wave with the bubbles, shown in Fig. 1, consists of three segments representing the solid continuum, fluid continuum and a bubble surrounded by the fluid. Based on the rheological model, we derived, using the procedure similar to [10], the one-dimensional partial differential equation for the nonlinear longitudinal seismic wave,

\[
C_1 \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \tau} - C_2 \frac{\partial^2 \nu}{\partial \xi^2} + \epsilon C_3 \frac{\partial^3 \nu}{\partial \xi^3} - \epsilon^2 C_4 \frac{\partial^4 \nu}{\partial \xi^4} = \epsilon^4 C_5 \frac{\partial^5 \nu}{\partial \xi^5} - C_7 \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \xi} = 0,
\]

(1)

where \( \nu \) is the velocity of the solid matrix, \( \epsilon \) is the small parameter responsible for slow behaviour of the wave in space and time, and the coefficients \( C_i, i=1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 \) are constants linked to the mechanical parameters of the system. From (1) follows the dispersion relation

\[
\lambda(k) = -\frac{C_2}{C_1} k^2 + \epsilon^2 \frac{C_4}{C_1} k^4 - \epsilon^4 \frac{C_6}{C_1} k^6,
\]

(2)

Describing the behavior of the wave in time, \( \nu \sim \exp(\lambda t) \); \( k \) is the wave number. We discovered [8] that the increase of the radius of the bubbles, \( R_0 \), leads to faster decay, while the increase of the number of the bubbles, \( n_0 \), leads to slower decay of the wave as shown in Fig 2.

The aim of this paper is to evaluate the ranges of the parameters of the rheological model that lead to negative values of \( \lambda \), which describe a decaying wave and are, therefore, physically acceptable (valid), and to positive \( \lambda \) which describe a growing wave, and are, therefore, physically not acceptable (invalid). In this paper we use \( k = 0.25 \) l/m, \( \epsilon = 0.01 \) [8].

Figure 1. Rheological scheme including a gas bubble [8].

Figure 2. The decay rate \( \lambda \) versus \( R_0 \) and \( n_0 \) from [8].
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II. RHEOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

In this section we focus on the parameters of the rheological model shown in Fig. 1. The model includes two oscillating elements with viscosities \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \), three elastic springs with the elastic moduli \( E_1, E_2, \) and \( E_3 \), and three oscillating masses \( M_1, M_2, \) and \( M_3 \). Further, \( \sigma \) is the total stress; \( e \) with respective subscript denotes the displacement of the element of the model. Overall we have 8 independent rheological parameters, \( E_1, E_2, E_3, M_1, M_2, M_3, \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \). The magnitudes of the viscosities \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) are well known from literature \([11, 12]\) while the parameters \( E_1, E_2, E_3, M_1, M_2, \) and \( M_3 \) are known quite poorly and only be roughly estimated by the order of magnitude. We aim to determine a possibly wider range of the values of the parameters making the decay rate negative (valid).

As for the values of \( E_i \) and \( M_i \), they are roughly suggested in \([10, 13, 14, 15]\), and we let them vary from these reference levels by a few orders of magnitude. Tables I and II show the values for \( E_i \) and \( M_i \) which we use in the present study.

| Table I. The Values of \( E_1, E_2, \) and \( E_3 \) |
|----------|----------|----------|
| \( E_{1, \mu} \) | \( E_{2, \mu} \) | \( E_{3, \mu} \) |
| 1 \( 10^6 \) | \( 10^6 \) | \( 10^9 \) |
| 2 \( 10^4 \) | \( 10^6 \) | \( 5 \times 10^4 \) |
| 3 \( 10^6 \) | \( 10^6 \) | \( 10^6 \) |

| Table II. The Values of \( M_1, M_2, \) and \( M_3 \) |
|----------|----------|----------|
| \( M_{1, \text{kg/m}} \) | \( M_{2, \text{kg/m}} \) | \( M_{3, \text{kg/m}} \) |
| 1 \( 10^2 \) | \( 5 \times 10^4 \) | \( 5 \times 10^3 \) |
| 2 \( 10^7 \) | \( 5 \times 10^7 \) | \( 5 \times 10^7 \) |
| 3 \( 10^4 \) | \( 5 \times 10^4 \) | \( 5 \times 10^4 \) |

Tables I and II give \( 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 27 \times 27 \) possible combinations of the parameter values. In order to reduce the amount of calculations, for each of the 27 combinations of \( E_i \) from Table I we consider only one combination of \( M_i \) from Table II, namely one with the same row and column number, see Table III. For each row from Table III, we plot the decay rate against the radius of the bubbles, \( R_0 \), and their number (concentration), \( n_0 \). In total Table III gives 27 possible graphs, but some of these graphs are very close as we comment in the figure captions. The results are presented in Figs. 3-5.

We found the following. When the values of \( E_1 \) and \( E_3 \) are simultaneously increased to \( 10^6 \) Pa the decay rate \( \lambda \) remains negative (valid). When they are simultaneously decreased down to \( 10^2 \) Pa the decay rate \( \lambda \) gets less negative. With the increase of the value of \( E_2 \) to \( 6 \times 10^7 \) Pa the decay rate \( \lambda \) becomes positive (invalid), see Figs. 6 and 7. This indicates that our model is not applicable to such extreme values of \( E_2 \).
Figure 5. The decay rate by formula (2) for row 27 of Table III.

Figure 6. The decay rate by formula (2) for $E_1=10^2$ Pa.

Figure 7. The decay rate by formula (2) for $E_2=6\times10^9$ Pa.

All the presented calculations are carried out for the number of bubbles $n_0 = 10^8$ 1/m$^3$ and the radius of bubbles $R_0 = 5\times10^{-3}$ m [8, 16]. Similar results are obtained when possible values of $E_i$ are extended as shown in Table IV. Figs. 8-10 show the results for the parameter values given in Table IV.

<p>| TABLE IV. Values of $E_1$, $E_2$ AND $E_3$ |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_{1,Pa}$</th>
<th>$E_{2,Pa}$</th>
<th>$E_{3,Pa}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>5$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>5$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>5$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>$10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>4$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>4$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$10^9$</td>
<td>4$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5$x10^9$</td>
<td>5$x10^7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. The decay rate by formula (2) for rows 5 of Tables III and IV.

Figure 9. The decay rate by formula (2) for rows 17 of Table III and IV.

Figure 10. The decay rate by formula (2) for rows 25 of Table III and IV.
III. VALIDITY SPACE

In this section we investigate the parametric space in terms of negative/positive $\lambda$ (valid/invalid model). To present results in the form of 3D plots we choose two options: (a) vary $E_i$ with $M_i$ being fixed, (b) vary $M_i$ with $E_i$ being fixed. The points where $\lambda$ is negative are displayed as ‘o’, the points where $\lambda$ is positive are displayed as ‘*’. The results are presented in Figs. 11-14.

![Figure 11. Valid and invalid points in the space of $E_i$.](image1)

![Figure 12. Valid and invalid points in the space of $E_i$.](image2)

![Figure 13. Valid and invalid points in the space of $E_i$.](image3)

See from Fig. 14 that all the points are valid points. Therefore, for different values of $M_i$ the elastic wave is a decaying wave according to our model, which is a physically acceptable outcome.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the influence of the values of the rheological parameters on linear dynamics of elastic waves in porous media with fluid including gas bubbles. We evaluated the areas of validity of the decay rate of the wave in the parametric space.
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