# Computational Fluid Dynamics Application for Analysis of Centrifugal Compressor Stage Stator Part

Lubov Marenina, Yuri Galerkin, and Kristina Soldatova Peter the Great, St.Petersburg Polytechnic University, R&D Laboratory "Gas dynamics of turbo machines" St.Petersburg, Russia Emails: lyubasic@mail.ru, yuri\_galerkin@mail.ru, buck02@list.ru

Abstract—The information of satisfactory known correlation of calculated and measured stator part performances is a foundation for the numerical investigation. Stator parts «Vane (vaneless) diffuser + crossover + return channel» of stages with different specific speed were designed in accordance with standard recommendations and investigated by CFD calculations. Flow structure demonstrated advantages and disadvantages of design. Flow separation in crossovers was eliminated by its shape modification for stages with diffusers relative width of diffusers. The stage with medium flow rate and low loading factor was designed with traditional and modified crossovers. Calculated efficiency performance becomes better. The information obtained is useful for design method better calibration.

*Index Terms*—gas dynamic design, pipeline compressor, test results performance, centrifugal compressor, efficiency, mathematical model

## NOMENCLATURE

*b* - width of channel;  $c_2$  - absolute velocity at an impeller exit;  $D_1$  - blade inlet diameter;  $D_2$  - impeller diameter; *p* - pressure; *R* - radius of curvature; *T* - temperature;  $\alpha$  absolute velocity angle related to tangential direction;  $\zeta$  - loss coefficient;  $\eta$  - efficiency;  $\pi$  - pressure ratio;  $\psi_{\tau}$  - loading factor; *i* - incidence angle; *k* - isentropic exponent;  $\Phi_{-}$  flow rate coefficient.

Subscripts: 2, 2-3, 3, 4, 5, 6, 0' - indices of control sections; des - design flow rate; p - pressure; inl - inlet; out - outlet; mean - mean; bl - blade; exter - external; opt - optimum; t - stagnation parameters.

*Abbreviation*: CFD - Computational Fluid Dynamics; CR - crossover; ST - stator part of a stage; RC - return channel; VD - vane diffuser; VLD - vaneless diffuser.

# I. OBJECT AND AIM

Industrial centrifugal compressors consume a lot of energy. Their efficiency depends equally on impeller and stator part proper design. The cross-section of a model stage designed in accordance with popular recommendations [1] is presented in Fig. 1.

Typical stator part flow path consists of vaneless or vane diffuser, crossover and return channel. Flow path dimensions are presented in Fig. 2.



Figure 1. Typical industrial compressor stage ready to model test and stator elements borders.



Figure 2. Typical industrial compressor stage part main dimensions.

Manuscript received February 5, 2018; revised September 12, 2018.

Configuration of all stage's elements is a combination of straight lines and arcs. Width of a diffuser related to an impeller diameter  $b_3 / D_2$  must be is chosen in accordance with necessary flow angle at a design flow rate  $\alpha_{3des}$ . Its value is about 250. Stator radial dimension is limited by a body size. Ratio  $D_3 / D_2$  lies in range 1.4 – 1.7 as a rule. Inner bent radiuses are related to channel width R/b with proper recommendations, etc.

Several stages with vane and vaneless diffusers were designed in accordance with recommendations [1]. The authors executed CFD-calculations of gas dynamic performances of these stages and of several candidates. Alterations of crossover configuration and vane numbers were studied. Better efficiency and less loss coefficients have pointed on recommendations' alteration.

### II. CALCULATION METHOD

Numerical calculations were performed using software packages ANSYS CFX 14 and NUMECA Fine Turbo. Calculation methodology, studied by the authors of [2], [3] was applied. To create a three-dimensional model programs BladeGen and AutoBlade were used. Grids were created by the program TurboGrid and AutoGrid. Computational domain consists of 700000-1500000 elements for different sectors. Grid of C-type is around blades and vanes. The rest computational domain is a grid of H-type.

NUMECA Fine Turbo grid consists of 870 000 for impeller's sector and 1250 000 for ST sector.

## III. CALCULATED DATA REPRESENTATION

Polytrophic efficiency of a stator part based on calculated parameters of gas is:

$$\eta = \frac{\ln(p_0, / p_{2-3})}{\frac{k}{k-1}\ln(T_0, / T_{2-3})}$$
(1)

The important parameter of any diffuser and ST in particular is its velocity ratio:

$$\dot{c}_{ST} = \frac{c_0}{c_{(2-3)}} \tag{2}$$

Loss coefficient that is followed from Bernoulli's equation:

$$\zeta = (1 - \eta) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{c_{0'}}{c_{(2-3)}} \right)^2 \right]$$
(3)

$$\zeta = \frac{p_{tinl} - p_{tout}}{0.5(p_{inl} + p_{out})} \cdot \frac{2}{c_{inl}^2}$$
(4)

recovery coefficient:

$$\xi = 1 - \left(\frac{c_{out}}{c_{inl}}\right)^2 - \zeta \tag{5}$$

If a velocity ratio is close to 1, a polytrophic efficiency is close to 0 while losses level is not too high. Parameters (2) and (3) or (4) in case of low Mach number are sufficient.

Coefficients listed above are presented as functions of an incidence angle in case of ST with vane diffuser:

$$l_3 = \alpha_{bl3} - \alpha_3 \tag{6}$$

Inlet flow angle  $\alpha_{2-3}$  is an argument in case of ST with vaneless diffuser. To compare performances of ST of stages with different specific speed the authors of introduce ST specific speed coefficient:

$$K_{nstr} = \sqrt{\Phi_{(2-3)}} / \psi_T \tag{7}$$

where:

$$\left(\Phi_{(2-3)}/\psi_{T}\right)_{des} = \left[\Phi/\left(\frac{\rho_{(2-3)}}{\rho_{inl}^{*}}\psi_{T}\right)\right]_{des} = \left(4\frac{b_{(2-3)}}{D_{2}}tg\alpha_{(2-3)}\right)_{opt}$$
(8)

### IV. CFD VALIDATION

The validation of CFD-calculations was made by the authors of [3]-[5].

Calculations' comparison with experiments presented in [4] demonstrates the next:

- loading factor at a design flow rate  $\psi_{Tdes}$  is overestimated on 6 12%,
- performance in a whole has tendency to shift to higher flow rate. Shift is more evident in case of 3D impellers,
- maximum efficiency of a stage is estimated quite well in spite of above mentioned.

Data shows at the same time that stator part performances are modeled less controversy.

Measured and calculated performances match well in all range of flow rate coefficient. Loss coefficient value of crossover + return channel matches satisfactory. Flow non-uniformity and unsteady character after an impeller is most. Evidently its modeling by CFD is not quite satisfactory. Calculated loss coefficient minimal value for the ST in a whole is about 25% less than measured.

Calculation by ANSYS and NUMECA also demonstrates not complete matching. The authors have designed a medium flow rate stage and executed CFD modeling of performances. Fig. 3 presents some results.

Here again good flow rate range matching takes place and impeller influence is evident. Minimal loss coefficient calculated by ANSYS and NUMECA is different visibly. Anyway, the authors' opinion is that stator part candidates can be compared by CFDcalculated performances. The more effective candidate is by CFD calculation the more effective it is in reality. Good calculated flow structure correspondence to experimentally proven flow character is presented below.



Figure 3. Stator part performances of a medium flow rate stage. Red – ANSYS, Blue – NUMECA.

# V. FLOW STRUCTURE

The authors have participated in design and calculation of the stage series presented in [6]. The stages with vane and vaneless diffusers were designed for a wide range of specific speed. Stator part performances are show in Fig. 4. Candidates ##1-5 are stages with vane diffusers. Candidate 1-VLD is a candidate #1 with vanes of VD eliminated. Candidates ##7 and 8 are stages with VLD and proper design. Here the authors are concentrated of flow structure in the candidates meaning checking of design recommendations.



Figure 4. Performances of stators with VD and VLD designed for different specific speed.

Flow pattern near leading edges of the stage #1 at tree inlet angles is shown in Fig. 5 on the mean blade to blade surface. Angle  $\alpha_{2-3} = 17^{\circ}$  corresponds to the optimal regime. The optimal incidence angle is  $i_{opt} = \alpha_{b/3} - \alpha_{2-3} = 21 - 17 = 4^{\circ}$ . Streamlines show that at the angle  $\alpha_{2-3} = 7^{\circ}$  (incidence angle  $14^{\circ}$ ) flow is fully separated. The local separation appears at the pressure side of blades when the angle  $\alpha_{2-3} = 22^{\circ}$  (incidence angle -10).



Figure 5. Velocity field near the leading edge and streamlines in the VD of the stage #1:A –  $\alpha_{2-3}$  = 7 °, B –  $\alpha_{2-3}$  = 17 °, C –  $\alpha_{2-3}$  = 22 °.



Figure 6. shear stresses on the VD blade surfaces (stage #1). above: pressure surfaces, below: suction surfaces. A –  $\alpha_{2-3}$  = 7 °, B –  $\alpha_{2-3}$  = 17 °, C–  $\alpha_{2-3}$  = 22 °

Fig. 6 shows shear stresses on the pressure and suction surfaces of the VD vanes. Flow separation corresponds to regions of low shear stress (blue). Red zones point on high level of friction losses.

Different level of flow separation takes place at all three inlet angles as streamlines in Fig. 7 demonstrate.



Figure 7. Streamlines in RC at three inlet angles. mean blade to blade surface and meridian plane. A –  $\alpha_{2-3} = 7°$ , B –  $\alpha_{2-3} = 17°$ , C– $\alpha_{2-3} = 22°$ 

The flow deceleration in RC at the optimal inlet angle is  $c_0 / c_4 \approx 0.9$ . Evidently, it is necessary to avoid separation with better flow organization. Flow pattern is not quite favorable even at optimal mode. According to the date presented in [1] a separation is not expected in the crossover if the inner radius of curvature  $R_s / b_4 \ge 0.6$ - as in the stages ## 1-5. Obviously, the used for design Universal modeling computer program of the 4th generation is not quite precise to predict flow behavior. It overestimates the importance of friction losses. The ratio  $b_6 / b_5 = 1.0$  was recommended by the program calculation. The flow deceleration in the crossover is too intensive as result. The crossover outlet/inlet ratio  $b_5 / b_4$  is about 2.0 that leads to flow separation.

## VI. CROSSOVER MODIFICATIONS

Meridian form of RC stages ##1 and 5 were modified for better organization of meridian flow. Modification was made by increasing the inner radius of curvature of crossovers by reducing the height of blades  $\overline{b}_5$ . Flow meridian structure in ST #1 before modification is shown in Fig. 7 above. In the modified stages flow separation takes no place at design flow rates. Fig. 8 demonstrates it.



Figure 8. Streamlines in meridian plane of RC at design flow rate. left – st #1 after. modification, middle- st #5 before modification, right – st #5 after modification

In modified ST meridian flow separation appears only at the extreme flow rates. Modification yielded positive results. Maximum efficiency of ST #1 has been increased by 1.6% by increasing of the inner radius of CR curvature and the reduction ratio  $b_5 / b_4$ . Fig. 9 demonstrates how modification has improved gas dynamic performances of ST  $\mathbb{N}$  5. Modification have improved efficiency by 2,6%, despite the fact that in narrow ST friction losses predominate.

The authors of [6] have made a suggestion that further diminishing of a ratio  $b_5 / b_4$  c may be a step in the right

direction. Two candidates with extremely wide VLD  $b_3 / D_2 = 0.10$  were compared (with  $b_5 / b_4 = 1$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 0.6$ , and  $b_5 / b_4 = 0.68$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 0.77$ ).



Figure 9. Efficiency and loss coefficient of ST #5 before (1) and after (2) modification

Optimal angle is  $\alpha_{sopt} = 31^{0}$  and optimal incidence angle is  $i_{sopt} = +5^{0}$  are values for the candidate before modification. The modified candidate has the same vane cascade. Due to smaller  $b_{5} / b_{4}$  ratio its optimal incidence is  $i_{sopt} = +9^{0}$ . The better flow organization and more effective operation of a stage with modified ST is expected.



Figure 10. Meridian view of the stage with two candidates

The stage with design parameters  $\Phi_{des} = 0.105$ ,  $\psi_{Tdes} = 0.56$ , was designed with two candidates of ST:

- with  $b_5 / b_4 = 1.3$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 1.77$ , close to standard recommendations;
- with  $b_5 / b_4 = 0.87$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 1.67$ .

Flow path meridian projection of both candidates is shown in Fig. 10 (left:  $b_5 / b_4 = 1.3$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 1.77$ , right:  $b_5 / b_4 = 0.87$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 1.67$ ). The modification has lead to better efficiency of the stage. Performances of both candidates are presented in Fig. 11.



Figure 11. Gas dynamic performances of the stage with two candidates. Solid:  $b_5 / b_4 = 1.3$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 1.77$ , stroke:  $b_5 / b_4 = 0.87$ ,  $R_s / b_4 = 1.67$ 

## VII. CROSSOVER MODIFICATIONS

The presented results of CFD calculations of centrifugal stages and their stators by software packages ANSYS CFX 14 and NUMECA Fine Turbo have demonstrated directions of possible improvements and some were realized. The optimum incidence angle for VD is  $4^{\circ}$ . Optimal incidence of RC is angle is  $+5^{\circ}$ . Developed and tested recommendation on the confusor CR application.

There are many objects that will be studied by the authors by means of CFD-calculations and field-type optimal design programs that are at disposal.

#### VIII. CONCLUSION

The presented results of CFD calculations of centrifugal stages and their stators by software packages ANSYS CFX 14 and NUMECA Fine Turbo have demonstrated directions of possible improvements and some were realized. The optimum incidence angle for VD is  $4^{\circ}$ . Optimal incidence of RC is angle is  $+5^{\circ}$ . Developed and tested recommendation on the confusor CR application. There are many objects that will be studied by the authors by means of CFD-calculations and field-type optimal design programs that are at disposal.

#### REFERENCES

- Y. Galerkin, *Tubrocompressors*, LTD information and publishing center, Moscow, pp. 25-54, 2010.
- [2] Y. Galerkin, A. Borovkov, I. Voinov, A. Gaev, D. Gamburder, A. Sofronova, and N. Lozovaja, "Results of calculation of a viscous flow in motionless elements of centrifugal compressor stages by means of program ANSYS/CFX system," *Compressors & Pneumatics*, vol. 2, pp. 10-16, 2007.
- [3] D. Gamburger, "Numerical modelling of viscous flow in a centrifugal compressor stage: technique and results," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Compressor machinery, SPbTU, 2009.
- [4] Y. Galerkin and A. Drozdov, "Sample of CFD optimization of a centrifugal compressor stage," presented at the Conference on Compressors and their Systems, London, 2015.
- [5] Y. Galerkin and A. Drozdov, "New generation of Universal modeling for centrifugal compressors calculation," presented at the Conference on Compressors and their Systems, London, 2015.
- [6] Y. Galerkin, L. Marenina, and K.Soldatova, "CFD wind tunnel tests of Centrifugal stage return channel vane cascades," presented at the ASME Gas Turbine India Conference, India, 2015.



Lubov Marenina was born in 1988 in Leningrad (USSR). Graduated from Peter the Great, St. Petersburg Polytechnic University in 2010 ("Refrigerating and Compressor machinery"). She is PhD student in Peter the Great, St. Petersburg Polytechnic University. Main scientific interests and activity directions are: development of experimental and numerical research methods

including measurements of flow parameters in the rotor flow path at high RPM, visualization of flow structure.

Since 2014 she works in Peter the Great, St. Petersburg Polytechnic University as an engineer in R&D Laboratory "Gas dynamics of Turbo machinery". The coauthor 3 projects of centrifugal compressors. She is the coauthor of over 10 scientific publications.



**Galerkin Yury** was born in 1933 in Leningrad (USSR). Graduated from Polytechnic Institute in 1957 ("Refrigerating and Compressor machinery"). He got PhD degree in 1967 and Dr-Ing. Hab. degree in 1974 in Polytechnic Institute. Main scientific interests and activity directions are: development of experimental and numerical research methods including measurements of flow parameters in the rotor flow path; analysis of

principles of the flow behavior by physical and computational experiments; creation of gas-dynamic engineering methods and computer programs of calculation and optimal design. In 1960 organized and headed the R & D team "Gas dynamics of

In 1960 organized and headed the R & D team "Gas dynamics of Turbo machinery" – now R&D Laboratory "Gas dynamics of Turbo machinery". The author of about 60 projects of centrifugal and axial compressors. By 2013, more than 400 of centrifugal compressors based

on these projects are in operation in Russia, Ukraine, Poland, Iran, South America countries. Compressors' total capacity is almost 5 million kWt. He is the author of over 350 scientific publications.

The Chairman of the Organizing Committee of the International Symposium "Compressor users – manufacturers" (St. Petersburg, annually since 1994). He is also the Chairman of the Organizing Committee of the International scientific and technology compressor conference (takes place in industrial centers of Russian Federation and Ukraine, once in 3 years, since 1998).



Soldatova Kristina was born in 1982 in Leningrad (USSR). Graduated from Peter the Great, St. Petersburg Polytechnic University in 2004 ("Refrigerating and Compressor machinery") and PhD degree in 2007. Main scientific interests and activity directions are: development of experimental and numerical research methods including measurements of flow parameters in the rotor flow path; gas-dynamic design principles of centrifugal

development of compressors.

Since 2007 she works in Peter the Great, St. Petersburg Polytechnic University. Now she is a leading researcher in the R&D Laboratory "Gas dynamics of Turbo machinery". The coauthor of about 10 projects of centrifugal and axial compressors. She is the author and coauthor of over 80 scientific publications.

Member of organizing committee of the International conference "Compressors and Their Systems" of the British society of mechanical engineers (Great Britain, London). The member of the "Scientific and Educational Ensuring Engineering Activity" working group of Council at the president of the Russian Federation on science and education.